IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CRIMINAL	REVISION	APPLICATION	Nο	1210	\circ f	19	8:	3

SHAMRAO DHONDU

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.D.DAVE

Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? - No JJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJ

- To be referred to the Reporter or not? No 2.
- To be referred to the Reporter or not? No To be referred to the Reporter or not? - No

To be referred to the Reporter or not? - No be referred to the Reporter or not? - No referred to the Reporter or not? - No rred to the Reporter or not? - No to the Reporter or not? - No

the Reporter or not? - No Reporter or not? - No rter or not? - No

or not? - No not? - No

- - 2. To be To be ref To be referre 2.

2.

2.

- To be referred to 2. To be referred to the
- To be referred to the Rep
- 2. To be referred to the Reporte To be referred to the Reporter or
- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or no
- 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? - No

2.

- Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? -No
- 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? -No

Appearance:

ORAL JUDGMENT

This petition was presented by Shamrao Dhondu, the original accused. He was convicted by the Trial Court for the offences punishable under Section 66(1)(b) of the Bombay Prohibition Act, 1949 along with Section 85(1)(3) of the said Act. He was sentenced to RI for three months and to pay a fine of Rs.500/- in default, to RI for 30 days. His appeal came to be dismissed by the appellate Court vide the orders dated October 29, 1983. Later on, this petition came to be presented and was admitted in the year 1984. The Rule was issued and the petitioner-accused was kept on bail, but with the fresh bonds. Learned Counsel Mr. H.K. Thakore was representing the cause of the petitioner-accused. On his sad demise, the Registry of this Court had sought to serve the petitioner once again, so that, he can be given an opportunity of being heard. But, the notice has been returned unserved along with the report of the PI, Bharuch City Police 'B' Division, Bharuch, saying that, the petitioner-accused is also no more. This report requires to be accepted and the same hereby accordingly accepted. The proceedings would not, now, be maintainable and they are terminated along with the demise of the petitioner-accused. The proceedings are disposed of, in the above said manner. Rule shall stand discharged.
